

#8

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/262,743	NATORI ET AL.
	Examiner Chuck O Kendall	Art Unit 2122

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chuck O Kendall.

(3) Bill Brogan Rey# 43,515

(2) Todd Ingberg.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7/17/01

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

General discussion on claim 1. Examiner's pointed out several text books by Dr. David A. Taylor, James Martin et al. Products by Rational Rose from 1996, Forte from 1994, 1995, 1998, Template Software from 1995 and 1997. Mentioned Visual Basic 6.0 with Crystal Reports.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required